sole pineapple co. Resource Management

In response to inquiry from Sole Pineapple Co., BC Consulting engaged in efforts to improve resource management and cost efficiency while remaining within current company demands and guidelines. Concluding results show projections of multiple implementation changes, increasing revenue streams by 50%, and decreasing overall costs by 50% upon implementation of suggested changes.
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